



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DO	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
10/075,847	02/13/2002		Harry R. Howard JR.		PC1183	5A	9027
23913	7590	12/02/2004			EXAMINER		
PFIZER INC					RAYMOND, RICHARD L		
150 EAST 4 5TH FLOOR		ART UN	IT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612					1624		
1,2,, 1011	-, .,				1024		

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/075,8		HOWARD, HARRY R.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	*		
			. Raymond	1624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evulunication. 0) days, a reply within the state attactory period will apply and wwill, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>07 September :</u>	<u>2004</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.		•		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 and 22-3 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	<u>80</u> is/are withdrawn fr					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to the oath or declaration is objected to the specific specific to the specific	a) accepted or by ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Sec red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			,	4			
Attachmen	at(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	OTO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)		

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Change of Examiner

1. Note the change of Examiner in the present application. The Art Unit number (1624) remains the same.

Specification

- 2. It is requested that a status paragraph be added as the first paragraph of the specification.
- 3. The two sheets of formulas (marked as drawings in e-DAN) are queried. Are these to be drawings or merely pages of the specification? Clarification is requested.

Election/Restrictions

4. In view of the original restriction requirement and as modified in the last Office action, claims 1 and 22-30 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being to a non-elected invention. Claims 2-21 are under consideration. For the record, method of use claims will be rejoined with the corresponding pharmaceutical composition claims upon allowance thereof.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,847

Art Unit: 1624

Response to Amendment

5. The arguments in the Response of September 7, 2004 have been considered, but are not seen persuasive of error therein. They are repeated below along with an additional Section 112 rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. Claims 2-21 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement for the reasons of record. Applicants' arguments have been considered but are not seen persuasive of error therein.
- 7. Claims 2-18, 20 and 21 are newly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (1) Claims 2-21 are incomplete in that they are dependent on non-elected claim 1. (2) Claims 21 is improperly dependent on itself. Apparently, dependency on claims 20 is intended. (2) Claims 2-18, 20 and 21 are indefinite in the definition of the second component of the composition merely as a GABA-A agonist. See Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd., 18 USPQ2nd 1016 where it was held that a chemical compound must be defined so as to distinguish it from other compounds. Merely defining it by its biological properties is insufficient.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/075,847

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. Claims 2-21 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/37303 in view of WO 01/27068. Applicants' arguments have been considered but are not seen persuasive. It is maintained that these references together clearly teach the combination of SRI agents and GABA-A agonists, and for the present use. In the absence of a showing of unexpected properties, no patentable significance is seen in the present selection.

Conclusion

This action is **not** made final in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications fro examiner should be directed to Richard L. Raymond whose telephone number	

272-0673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 10:00 AM-8:30 PM.
If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Mukund Shah can be reached on (571) 272-0674. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Ricaarouz Raymor Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

rr

9.

November 27, 2004

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).